

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/693,409	Applicant(s) SNOVER ET AL
Examiner JUE S. WANG		Art Unit 2193	
All Participants: (1) <u>JUE S. WANG.</u> (2) <u>David Sakata (Reg No. 59,949).</u> Date of Interview: <u>12 August 2009</u> Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Authorization was given by Mr. Sakata to amend claims as detailed in Examiner's Amendment</i> Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u> (3) _____. (4) _____. Time: _____	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> /Jue S Wang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2193 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div>			